


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
 FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/22/2008
 CASE NO.: 08cv1033 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Hennington, Jr.
 CASE TITLE: Hennington, Jr. v. US Customs
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Proof of Service for Complaint

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<u>OTHER: San Diego Superior Court forms; Documents referenced in POS are not filed in District Court</u>

Date forwarded: 8/25/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. ☐ No. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Houston

Dated: 8/26/08
 cc: All Parties

By: JW

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) Alvin Hennington Jr 5170 Clairmont Mesa Blvd Unit4 San Diego, CA 92117-1465 E-MAIL ATTORNEY FOR (Name): IN PROPRIA PERSONA		REJECTED Telephone (858) 495-0695 FAX		FOR COURT USE ONLY	
San Diego County Superior Court - San Diego - Hall of Justice STREET ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101 BRANCH NAME: San Diego - Hall of Justice					
PLAINTIFF: Alvin Hennington Jr DEFENDANT: Federal Bureau of Investigation, U S Cus					
PROOF OF SERVICE		FILE NUMBER 2008142312		COURT CASE NUMBER 37-2008-00081249	

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
2. I served copies of the:
 - f. other (specify documents):
Complaint
3. a. Party served: **Federal Bureau of Investigation | Erika Foxworth, Special Agent/authorized.**
 b. Person served: **party in item 3a**
4. Address where party was served: **9797 Aero Dr**
San Diego, CA 92123-1899
5. I served the party
 - a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of the process for the party (1)on: 5/14/2008 (2)at: 11:36 AM.
6. The "Notice to the Person Served" was completed as follows:
7. Person who served papers:
 - a. Name: **T Camoras 1996**
 - b. Address: **San Diego County Sheriff Sheriff's Civil Office PO Box 85306 San Diego, CA 92186-5306**
 - c. Telephone number: **(619) 544-6401**
 - d. The fee for service was: **\$30.00 (Waived)**
9. I am a California sheriff or marshal and I certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

08CV859JLS.
BLM

EAG
E. A. GREENAWALD

Date: August 22, 2008

Sheriff's Authorized Agent
 William B. Kolender, Sheriff

Hearing: <No Information>